



PIDGINIZATION & CREOLIZATION OF LANGUAGES IN PAKISTAN:THEIR SOCIAL CONTEXT

Gul Muhammad

PhD Scholar, Minhaj University Lahore.

gulmohammad840@gmail.com

Dr Zafar Iqbal Bhatti

Professor of English, Minhaj University Lahore.

zafar.eng@mul.edu.pk

Zafar Iqbal

PhD Scholar, Minhaj University Lahore (corresponding author)

zafar.iqbal.publishing@gmail.com

Qurratulain Shahbaz

MPhil Scholar, Lahore Leads University Lahore.

qurratulain64@gmail.com

Abstract

The study aims at discovering the social context to observe the phenomenon of pidginization and creolization of major languages of Pakistan through mixed method approaches i.e., theoretical, qualitative, survey, historical, comparative and diachronic analysis. Findings are aligned with the objectives and aims of the study to formulate logical conclusion.

Key Words: pidginization, creolization, comparative and diachronic

1. Introduction

Language contact is a universal phenomenon in multicultural communities, where individuals from diverse linguistic backgrounds interact and communicate with each other from different regions for different purposes to meet their needs in a social circle. This linguistic contact leads to language change, resulting in the development of pidgins and creoles under the influence of a particular lexifier. Pidginization and creolization are linguistic processes that occur in specific social contexts, particularly in situations of language contact, cultural exchange, and power dynamics (Holm, 2000).

Earlier pidgins and creoles didn't get the attention of the linguists due to the limited range of linguistic features. Pidgin has been defined as "a variety whose grammar and vocabulary are very much reduced", an additional criterion is that " the resultant language must be native to no one " (Hall, 1966, XII). Pidgins serve as a tool for communication between groups, while creoles on the other hand have been defined as "when a pidgin develops beyond its role as a trade language and becomes the first language of a social community, it is described as a Creole" (Yule, 1996, p.234).

Pidgins, however, develop into creoles over time with complex grammar, vocabulary, and cultural significance. Pidginization and creolization are linguistic processes that occur in specific social contexts, particularly in situations of language contact, cultural exchange, and power dynamics. Jassar (2012) suggests that pidgin has universal features which reflects through the simplicity of language used for communication purposes. Pidgins serve as a tool for communication between groups. They can, however, develop into creoles over time with complex grammar, vocabulary, and cultural significance.



1.1 Major Languages Spoken in Pakistan

Pakistan is a multilingual state with six major languages—Punjabi (spoken by 44.15% out of a population of 153 million in 2003); Pashto (15.42); Sindhi (14.10); Saraiki (10.53); Urdu (7.57); Balochi (3.57)—and about 57 minor ones. Urdu is the national language and English the official one (Rahman, 2008).

Many languages are spoken in Pakistan due to its linguistic fertility. The major categories are as under;

1. Urdu is spoken as a national language of Pakistan which is spoken by approximately 8% of the entire population mainly in the urban areas. It enjoys the status of national language and is a part and parcel of syllabus.
2. English is the second dominant language which enjoys the status of official language of the country, widely spoken in urban areas. It is also called a language of bourgeois and elite class.
3. Provincial languages include Punjabi, Sindhi, Balochi, Pashto and Kashmiri which are spoken in the province by native people with different accents and dialects.
4. Regional languages are specific to region and in each province, there are many regional languages i.e., Saraiki, Hindko, Shina, Balti, Rangri, Burushaski etc.
5. Minority languages are spoken by minorities, marginal communities, immigrants and lower sections of the society. (Rahman, 2008) \

1.2 Social Context of Languages Spoken in Pakistan

Pakistan is a multilingual country with Urdu, Punjabi, Pashto, Sindhi and Balochi dominant languages while English enjoying a supremacy as a lingua franca, official language and the language of elite class. They speak many languages to express their identity, in social circle settings, in educational institutions, media and politics etc.

“In many parts of the world, it is just a normal requirement of daily living that people speak several languages: perhaps one or more at home, another in the village, still another for purposes of trade, and yet another for contact with the outside world of wider social or political organization. These various languages are often acquired through simple exposure to the language, although one language or more in a speaker’s repertoire may be learned through schooling or in an instructional setting.” (Wardhaugh & Fuller, 2015). The power of economic globalization has penetrated all fields of life. Language globalization is a critical off-shoot of this global panorama of the modern world. With approximately 370 million native speakers and more than 600 million non-native speakers and with a total number of 980 million speakers (Julian, 2020) English has become a global language of the world. Throughout history, the occurrence of spectacular events evidenced that the language that was found frequently in the right place at the right time was English (Crystal, 2003).

The basic purpose of these languages is to reflect the culture, maintain identity, preserve cultural heritage, national values and normally people identify each other through language they speak and the region where they belong to.

Furthermore, there is a big division in Pakistani social culture context i.e., rural and urban areas. More linguistic diversity has been noted in the urban areas e.g., in Karachi, Lahore, Faisalabad, Rawalpindi and Peshawar etc.

Moreover, the educational institutes also play a crucial role in linguistic diversity in the country where English is used as a primary language as a medium of instruction in schools while in



deeni madaris and less privileged schools the coaching is done in native and indigenous languages.

In addition, media such as radio, TV, film are dominated by Urdu, English and Punjabi languages whereas there is limited exposure to native languages in the media. Many Pakistanis speak many languages for different purposes in day-to-day life.

All these above-mentioned factors are result of the process language contact and language change which result in developing new varieties of languages.

1.3 Aims of the Research

The study aims at;

1. Exploring the social context of pidginization and creolization while examining the factors that influence language change in Pakistan.
2. Highlighting the factors behind language change and development of new languages
3. Understanding the concept of multicultural societies and their impact on development of new varieties and languages

1.4 Objectives of the Study

Following are the objectives of the study;

1. To understand the concepts of language contact in multicultural societies, providing insight into language change, adaptation and convergence
2. To highlight the features of language which lead towards simplification in cross-cultural contact communities
3. To reflect upon the universal phenomenon of culture exchange which opens a window into the diversity of culture

1.5 Significance of the Study

The study will be significant in;

1. Understanding the unique linguistic features of language i.e., simplification & development of grammar, vocabulary and phonology
2. Highlighting the concept of culture exchange and hybridity, reflecting dynamics of colonialism, trade, slavery and migration
3. Exploring the concept of language diversity, challenging assumptions about language hierarchy and standardization

1.6 Hypothesis

Pakistan enjoys diverse and multilingual status with almost 70 languages spoken in different parts of the country where Urdu is officially national language along with English widely used in government offices. The situation become more diverse when people from four provinces, FATA, tribal areas, migrants and refugees contact each other for different purposes i.e., trade, commerce, entertainment and education etc. and develop a new varieties of languages like pidgins and creoles.

2. Review of Literature

Holm (2000) defines pidgin as a reduced variety of language which is used as a contact language among people who have no language in common.

Jasser (2012) argues that universal feature of language can be used in acquisition of any language in the early stage.

AlAnsari (2021) says that pidgins and creoles are the part of societies where human feel a need to communicate and understood by others which has played a crucial role in the development of languages.



Holm (2000) argues that although we lack clear definition of word pidgin, yet we can understand the phenomenon by observing the contributors which are normally from lower strata who accept the changes eagerly.

Farquharson (2017) states that pidgins help to develop creoles as a result of superstrate approaches which are due to the influence of the socially powerful groups in the society where creoles are thought to be continuum of their superstrate i.e., varieties spoken by the colonizers. Hymes (2020) calls pidgins and creoles as step children in scientific research and they are considered inferior in expressions and suggest mishmash whose speech is marginal, ordinary and valueless.

Mufwene (2015) says that pidgins and creoles are recognizable due to their structure features and their genetical relation with lexifiers. He maintains a clear difference between creole and contact-induced variety of language.

Malhauser (1981) states that pidgins are not worthy for scientific research either because they are mere confusions about language in a particular situation. He extends while quoting Labov that even creoles are mere deviation of standard language.

Handman (2000) says that pidgins are spoken in a narrow circle, by marginal groups by using narrow down vocabulary whose material is derived from socially dominant language.

Nash (2014) suggests that a comprehensive perspective is necessary to understand a contact language and should be compared with source language.

Isa & et al (2015) compare language with a chain which is transmitted inherently from one generation to another but in case of language transfer major changes have been observed which led towards pidginization and creolization.

Mufwene (20029) argues in favour of pidgins and creoles because of their unique empirical contribution which are so crucial that become a cause of language evolution.

Singler, (2008) argues that pidgin has divergent meanings for different people e.g., for Bickerton it is a chaotic and inadequate variety for communication, while for others it is a variety used in limited domains.

Hymes (1981) argues that it is clear and obvious that pidgins are different from natural languages in many ways i.e., no codified set of lexical grammatical and lexical norms and lacks homogeneity.

Knorr (2018) says that creolization may occur in the situations like forced or voluntary migrations when identities become insufficient as a frame of reference.

Trudgill (2003) defines pidgin as a language derived from source language which attain stability through focusing.

Wardhaugh & Fuller (2015) state that pidgins and creoles diametrically opposed to each other, where pidgin involves 'simplification' with non-native speakers and creole vice versa with native speakers.

3. Methodology

1. The study aims at applying theoretical and qualitative mixed method approaches to discover linguistic diversity in Pakistan
2. Sociolinguistics Survey: field work would be conducted to gather data on the social context
3. Historical Research: the historical context can be investigated of pidgins and creoles
4. Similarly, comparative analysis, discourse analysis, ethnographic research, corpus linguistics, collaborative research, diachronic research and typological analysis etc.



3.1 Delimitations

Keeping in mind the time and financial constraints, the study will be limited only to pidgins and creoles developed in Pakistan as a result of multiversity and regional variations of a few prominent languages i.e., Urdu, Punjabi, Sindhi, Balochi and Pashto etc.

4. Discussion

4.1 Local Pidgins

4.1.1 Bazaar Urdu

Simplified Urdu, or bazaar Urdu, has become the common tongue in marketplaces and cities of Pakistan. It makes communication easier between speakers of different native tongues, especially in business and trade. simplified vocabulary and grammar that frequently included words and phrases from other regional tongues like Sindhi, Punjabi, and Pashto.

4.1.2 Rohingya Pidgin

A more simplified language emerged among the Rohingya refugees in Pakistan, particularly in Karachi. It facilitates communication between locals and Rohingya speakers. incorporates, with simplified grammatical structures, elements of Bengali, Urdu, and Rohingya.

4.1.3 Sindhi Pidgin

A reduced and simplified dialect of Sindhi that is spoken in semi-urban and rural areas where speakers of other languages interact with Sindhi speakers. enhances interlingual communication in settings. simplified vocabulary and syntax, frequently incorporating words from Urdu and other regional languages.

4.1.4 Pashto Pidgin

Generally, in situations where there are lot of migration and interaction between Pashto speakers and speakers of other languages, simplified Pashto is used. Trade and social interaction are facilitated by it. simplified linguistic structures with regional and Urdu language components.

4.1.5 Other Regional Pidgins

Different pidgin forms emerge to facilitate communication in areas like Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Balochistan that have substantial linguistic diversity. It facilitates daily communication, particularly in situations involving labour, trade, and migration. It is a mashup of regional tongues with streamlined vocabulary and grammar.

4.1.6 User Speakers

Generally speaking, these languages are used at market places and transportations, rural areas, media, education and informal settings where shopkeepers, vendors, drivers, conductors and close friends use it for communication in informal settings.

4.2 Local Creoles

Pakistan has several local creoles which are result of contact through different languages in place of lingua franca.

4.2.1 Hindko

It is a well-known creole spoken in Hazara district of Pakistan developed from Urdu, Punjabi and Pashto languages.

Example: Kahi khana khuaya? (What are you eating?)

4.2.2 Urdu- Pashto Creole

It is spoken in urban areas of KPK and trade-hub of Punjab and Sindh provinces i.e., Islamabad, Karachi, Lahore, Faisalabad etc.

Example: Surre pagal de? (Man is mad?)



4.2.3 Punjabi-Pashto Creole

It is spoken in the north western areas of Pakistan and along the borders of Punjab and KPK. It is the result of the mixture of Punjabi and Pashto speakers.

Example: Za Za kam kar apna. (Mind your own business)

4.2.4 Balochi-Sindhi Creole

This creole is found in south western areas of Balochistan and coast borders of both provinces.

Example: Tawe Khana khan? (Where is the food?)

4.2.5 Makrani Creole

It is found in Makran region of Balochistan and Iran. It is the result of contact between various languages i.e., Balochi, Sindhi, Urdu, Pashto and Saraiki etc.

Example: Bhai khan aya? (Where is my brother?)

Tuje pani khudaya? (What are you drinking?)

4.2.6 Hingora Creole

It is found in the Hingorja region of Sindh. It is the result of contact between Sindhi, Balochi and Arabic.

4.2.6 Kutchi Creole

It is found in Sindh and developed from contact of Kutchi, Sindhi and Gujrati.

4.2.7 Pahari Creole

It is result of contact between Pahari, Urdu and Pashto and found in the Pahari speaking areas of KPK.

4.2.8 Burushashki Creole

It is a common creole language spoken in the Hunza valley of KPK and emerged from Urdu, Burushashki and Pashto

4.2.9 Chitrali Creole

It is spoken in Chitral region of KPK and developed from contact between Chitrali, Urdu and Pashto

4.3 Findings

Following are the findings of the study;

1. Pakistan is a linguistically diverse country that cherishes multilingual society, particularly in its major cities which are trade hub.
2. People from different part of the country use lingua franca when gathered for some common purpose which helps in better communication.
3. In absence of lingua franca, people try to communicate in their native language by simplifying their language to make it more understandable. This process of simplification helps in developing a pidgin language.
4. The process of migration helps in developing a new language. People from rural areas migrate to urban areas for business and trade purposes and later on settle there. They make a valuable contribution in developing a creole language.
5. The migrants speak many languages in their day-to-day life i.e., at home native language such as Pashto, Afghani or Saraiki, at schools their children speak Urdu and English and Punjabi and many other languages in the streets while playing.
6. People wish to maintain their identity. They speak native language at home to instruct their children but they also want to learn other languages to sustain a better position in society which leads towards developing a new language.



7. Pakistan is an Islamic state where many people believe in Islamic brotherhood, therefore, they marry in other communities and regions which helps in promoting linguistic diversity.
8. The major cities of Pakistan are trade hub and many youngsters of diverse linguistic background have jobs in these cities. It is common to find multilingual people in the same office. This situation results in the development of new language.

5. Conclusion

Pidginization and creolization are complex linguistic processes influenced by social context. Understanding these processes provides insights into language change, cultural identity, and power dynamics in multicultural communities. Language policies and educational programs should acknowledge and support pidgins and creoles as valuable languages, promoting linguistic diversity and inclusivity.

References

1. Ahmad, S. (2007). English-Based Pidgins and Creoles: From Social to Cognitive Hypotheses of Acquisition. https://www.academia.edu/31826860/ENGLISH_BASED_PIDGINS_AND_CREOLES_FROM_SOCIAL_TO_COGNITIVE_HYPOTHESES_OF_ACQUISITION?rhid=29148845297&swp=rr-rw-wc-28260738
2. AlAnsari, E.N., (2021). Language and Society Pidgin and Creole. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357768630_Language_and_Society_Pidgin_and_Creole
3. Avram, A.A., (2004). On the Syllable Structure of English Pidgins and Creoles. https://www.academia.edu/40690444/ON_THE_SYLLABLE_STRUCTURE_OF_ENGLISH_PIDGINS_AND_CREOLES?rhid=29149109843&swp=rr-rw-wc-28111003
4. Ansaldi, U. & Szeto, Y.P., (2020). Pidgin and Creole Ecology and Evolution. The Routledge handbook of Pidgin and Creole languages. https://www.academia.edu/44700668/Pidgin_and_Creole_ecology_and_evolution?rhid=29148729934&swp=rr-rw-wc-12072942
5. Farquharson, J. T., (2017). Introduction: Pidgin and creole genesis and typology. https://www.academia.edu/38504067/Introduction_Pidgin_and_creole_genesis_and_typology?rhid=29148904143&swp=rr-rw-wc-28260738
6. Handman, C. (2000). Pidgin/Pidginization. University of Texas at Austin. https://www.academia.edu/38134433/Pidgins_and_Pidginization_pdf
7. Holm, J. (2000). *An Introduction to pidgins and Creoles*. University of Coimbra, Portugal. Cambridge University, Press. Retrieved from: google.com.assets.cambridge.org › sample › 0521584604WS
8. Hymes, D. (2020). "Pidginization and Creolization of Languages: Their Social Contexts". *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, vol. 2020, no. 263, 2020, pp. 99-109. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijsl-2020-2088>
9. Isa, Z.A., & et al. (2015). The Concept of Pidgin and Creole. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS) Volume 20, Issue 3, Ver. V (Mar. 2015), PP 14-21.



https://www.academia.edu/27382766/The_Concept_of_Pidgin_and_Creole?rhid=29148956135&swp=rr-rw-wc-17440127

10. Jassr, A. (2012). Pidginization Theory and Second Language Learning/Acquisition. *Journal of King Saud University –Languages and Translation*. College of Languages & Transition, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia. [82267274.pdf](https://www.academia.edu/82267274.pdf)

11. Knorr, J. (2018). Creolization and Pidginization as Concepts of Language, Culture and Identity. https://www.academia.edu/116935284/Creolization_and_Pidginization_as_Concepts_of_Language_Culture_and_Identity

12. Lang, G., (2015). Review of Viveka Velupillai. (2017) Pidgins, creoles & mixed languages: An introduction [Creole Language Library, 48]. Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 599 pp. [To order, visit <http://www.benjamins.com>]. John Benjamins Publishing Company. https://www.academia.edu/36361021/Review_of_Viveka_Velupillai_2015_Pidgins_c_reoles_and_mixed_languages_An_introduction_Creole_Language_Library_48_Amst_erdam_Philadelphia_John_Benjamins_599_pp_To_order_visit_httpwww_benjamins_com?rhid=29149051728&swp=rr-rw-wc-108552789

13. Nash, J. (2014). The Atlas of Pidgin and Creole Language Structures/The Survey of Pidgin and Creole Languages. Australian Journal of Linguistics. https://www.academia.edu/17440127/The_Atlas_of_Pidgin_and_Creole_Language_Structures_The_Survey_of_Pidgin_and_Creole_Languages?rhid=29148928020&swp=rr-rw-wc-38504067
https://www.academia.edu/17440127/The_Atlas_of_Pidgin_and_Creole_Language_Structures_The_Survey_of_Pidgin_and_Creole_Languages?rhid=29148928020&swp=rr-rw-wc-38504067

14. Schumann, J., H. (1978). *The Pidginization Process: A Model for Second Language Acquisition*. Beverly Hartford Indiana University. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44487216>

15. Spears, A., (2008). Pidgins/Creoles and African American English. https://www.academia.edu/28111003/Pidgins_Creoles_and_African_American_English?rhid=29149076632&swp=rr-rw-wc-36361021

16. Malhausler, P. (1981). Pidginization and Simplification of Language. Department of Linguistics. Research School of Pacific Studies. The Australian National University.

17. Mather, P.A., (2011). Review of Creoles, their substrates, and language typology. Edited by Claire Lefebvre. Amsterdam: Benjamins. https://www.academia.edu/15232578/Review_of_Creoles_their_substrates_and_language_typology_Edited_by_Claire_Lefebvre_Amsterdam_Benjamins_2011?rhid=29148775955&swp=rr-rw-wc-111742974

18. Mufwene, S. (2015) *Pidgin and Creole Languages*. University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. https://www.academia.edu/12072942/Pidgin_and_Creole_Languages?rhid=29147875876&swp=rr-rw-wc-38134433

19. Mufwene, S. (2009). The Evolution of Language: Hints from Creoles and Pidgins. https://www.academia.edu/111742974/The_Evolution_of_Language_Hints_from_Creoles_and_Pidgins?rhid=29148731895&swp=rr-rw-wc-44700668



20. Rahman, T. (20028). Language Policy and Education in Pakistan. Encyclopaedia of Language and Education (pp.383-392).
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225248416_Language_Policy_and_Education_in_Pakistan
21. Saleh, H (2019). Pidgins, Lingua Francas and Creoles as Three Influential Linguistic Phenomena.
https://www.academia.edu/28260738/PIDGINS_LINGUA_FRANCAS_AND_CREOLES_AS_THREE_INFLUENTIAL_LINGUISTIC_PHENOMENA?rid=29148834881&swp=rr-rw-wc-22693168
22. Singler, J.V., (2008). The Handbook of Pidgins and Creole Studies. Wiley-Blackwell, A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication.
https://www.academia.edu/108552789/The_Handbook_of_Pidgin_and_Creole_Studies?rid=29149028593&swp=rr-rw-wc-110619128
23. Trudgill, P., (2003). *A Glossary of Sociolinguistics*. Edinburgh University Press Ltd George Square, Edinburgh
24. Wardhaugh & Fuller (2015). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
25. Yule, G., (1996). *The Study of Language*. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, pp. 233–234.