QUALITATIVE RESEARCH JOURNAL FOR SOCIAL STUDIES

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

AN ESSAY ON BIG DATA ANALYTICS CAPABILITIES AND ORGANIZATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY: THE ROLE OF DATA-DRIVEN INSIGHTS AND DECISION-MAKING

Salman Khalid
PhD Scholar, Department of Management Sciences, IBMAS
The Islamia University of Bahawalpur.
Email: salmankhalid4@gmail.com
Abdul Mannan Khan
Lecturer, Department of Entrepreneurship and Innovation, IBMAS
The Islamia University of Bahawalpur
mannan.bwp@outlook.com

Abstract
This research explores the transformative role of Big Data Analytics Capabilities (BDAC) in fostering organizational sustainability within the modern digital marketplace. Grounded in the resource-based view, dynamic capabilities, and stakeholder theory, the paper proposes a holistic framework where BDAC functions as a strategic asset composed of seven core dimensions: technology, data quality, simple resources, technological skills, managerial skills, organizational learning, and a data-driven culture. The study establishes that BDAC does not directly translate into sustainability outcomes rather, it operates through a series of mediation and moderation pathways. The impact of BDAC on sustainability is mediated by the generation of data-driven insights and the quality of strategic decision-making. Organizations must successfully convert raw analytical potential into actionable strategic understanding to realize performance gains. The effectiveness of these pathways is significantly influenced by talent management and Artificial Intelligence (AI) orientation. Comprehensive talent strategies that prioritize digital literacy and human-AI collaboration serve to amplify the value of analytical investments. The framework addresses sustainability as a multidimensional construct encompassing economic strength, environmental stewardship, and social well-being. BDAC enhances these areas by optimizing resource use, fostering green innovation, and improving human resource accuracy. The research concludes that achieving long-term sustainability requires a shift from intuition-based to evidence-based organizational cultures. Success depends on a holistic implementation strategy where all seven BDAC dimensions are developed interdependently, alongside robust governance frameworks to address emerging ethical challenges such as algorithmic bias and data privacy. Future research is encouraged to pursue longitudinal designs and investigate these dynamics within the context of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing economies.

Keywords: Big Data Analytics Capabilities, Organizational Sustainability, Data-Driven Decision-Making, Talent Management, Artificial Intelligence Orientation, Dynamic Capabilities.

I. INTRODUCTION AND CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION

A. Evolution of Big Data Analytics in Organizations

Digital data and development have changed the nature of organizational operations and competition in the modern marketplace through exponential growth and the technological advancement. Big data analytics capabilities (BDAC) have become strategic assets that help companies to derive valuable insights using large complex data sets and transform them into competitive advantages. It is becoming common among organizations that the technical skillfulness of how to harness data is not just a technical focus, but business-level change (Aziz et al., 2024). The development of BDAC reflects the multi-faceted awareness of the fact that to implement it successfully, it is essential that along with the technological

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

investment, it is necessary to integrate on a number of organizational levels such as the development of technology infrastructure, human resources, and organizational culture (Garmaki et al., 2023).

The fundamental approach to BDAC is based on the theories of resource-based view and dynamic capabilities, according to which it is possible to assume the organizations with unique capabilities can maintain the competitive advantage over long-term perspectives (Park et al., 2019). The latest study reveals that BDAC consists of seven dimensions of core: technology, data quality, simple resources, technological skills, managerial skills, organizational learning, and data-driven culture (Chaudhuri et al., 2024). These dimensions are interdependent and organizations have found that there are very minimal benefits that can be realized when one dimension is advanced without proper development in other dimensions. The combination of these dimensions demonstrates the systemic character of the formation of analytics capabilities and the need to introduce comprehensive changes in the organization (Garmaki et al., 2023).

B. The Sustainability Imperative

The modern concept of organizational sustainability is highly developed not only in terms of financial performance but also in the economic, environmental, and social aspects. Sustainability is now an important strategic consideration and not just a compliance issue due to the pressure on organizations now imposed by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals to show their desire to implement sustainable practices in their operations (Alyahya et al., 2023). Studies indicate that big data analytics is a key determining factor in ensuring this multidimensional sustainability through the provision of organizations with solutions to monitor, measure, and optimize their performance on all three pillars of sustainability (Ghobakhloo et al., 2023).

Organizations that use BDAC have a high level of sustainability performance in economic, environmental, and social aspects. Strategic agility, facilitated with the help of analytics, partly mediates the correlation between BDAC and sustainable performance, which suggests that analytics capability are one of the factors that affect the sustainability of organizations by increasing the responsiveness of the organization and market flexibility (Cavalcanti Barros Rodrigues & Gohr, 2022). Additionally, the connection between capabilities and sustainability is reinforced in innovative organizational contexts, which implies that the innovation capacity serves as a determinant of critical modulation in converting analytical capabilities into the actual sustainability results (Alyahya et al., 2023).

C. Research Framework Overview

The theoretical framework of the integration of BDAC, data-driven insights, decision-making, talent management, and AI orientation is a holistic perspective on the realization of organizational sustainability. Several theoretical approaches inform this integration: resource-based perspective elaborates the importance of capabilities, the theory of dynamic capabilities provides the sense of how firms sense, seize, and reconfigure both resources, and the stakeholder theory underscores the existence of various constituencies that organizations serve with their efforts to remain viable (Zhu & Tang, 2023).

The suggested model puts data-driven insights and decision-making as mediator variables through which BDAC can affect organizational sustainability. This is the kind of mediation hypothesis to propose that BDAC does not directly translate to sustainability outcomes but instead, organizations need to successfully turn the analytical capabilities into strategic understanding and incorporate these understanding in organizational decision-making processes (Oluwatosin Yetunde Abdul-Azeez et al., 2024). At the same time, talent

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH JOURNAL FOR SOCIAL STUDIES
ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

management and AI orientation act as the moderators, amplifying or limiting the performance of these mediation pathways, and indicating the extent to which organizational context and capabilities dictate the final effects of the analytics capabilities (Munir et al., 2022).

II. BIG DATA ANALYTICS CAPABILITIES: DIMENSIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION

A. BDAC Core Dimensions and Architecture

Big data analytics capabilities are a multidimensional phenomenon that consists of technology, data management, human resource, and organizational variables (Aziz et al., 2024). The technology aspect involves infrastructure, platforms and tools required in data collection, storage and processing. The technological basis of handling large volumes of data is made up of advanced analytical software, cloud computing, and real-time processing tools (Abiodun et al., 2021). Data management turns out as important, and more complex methods of data quality, integration, governance, and security are needed (Bena et al., 2025).

The most critical but least important aspect of BDAC is human resources. Data science, statistical analysis, and programming skills are technical skills to perform successful analytical work (Mishra et al., 2019). Nonetheless, studies are placing more and more emphasis on technical expertise by asserting that it is not enough in the absence of additional managerial competencies. The managerial skills that concern business acumen, strategic thinking, and change management define the use of technical analyses into strategic value (Audretsch and Belitski, 2021). The capacity of organizational learning facilitates systematical creation, sharing of knowledge, which enables organizations to keep up with regular advancement of analytical behaviors and take advantage of arising technological prospects (Garmaki et al., 2023).

B. Building and Sustaining BDAC Through Organizational Learning

Organizational learning is an obscure but vital mediator by which BDAC comes to play the role in the performance of firms (Garmaki et al., 2023). It involves iteration of learning so that the raw analytical capability is transformed into competitive advantage through the continuous reflection of analytical results, modification of methods and acquisition of organizational knowledge on how it can effectively be used. Such learning aspect is especially pronounced in the ways in which high-performing organizations have feedback loops between data scientists, business managers, and operational teams, developing common ground in the analytical results and strategic implications (Munir et al., 2022).

BDAC and sustainability performance are connected through innovation capability in the economic, environmental and social aspects (Aziz et al., 2024). Companies that have well-developed BDAC and make good use of such capabilities to lead to innovation have better sustainability results. This indicates that the BDAC impact on sustainability works in part by increasing the level of innovation which allows organizations to create new products, services and business models in line with the ideals of sustainability. Analytical insights and innovation capability help organizations to recognize opportunities of sustainability, and at the same time, respond to the competitive market demands (Sivarajah et al., 2024).

C. BDAC Implementation Across Diverse Organizational Contexts

BDAC is implemented inconsistently across industries and types of organizations. Hotels that adopt BDAC in the hospitality industry record an impressive performance in the area of innovation capacity and all three aspects of sustainability performance, which are: economic, environmental, and social (Aziz et al., 2024). The case with the hotel industry illustrates that BDAC is the solution that offers essential features to streamline processes, increase the satisfaction of the guests and, at the same time, improve sustainability goals by optimizing the resources (Naz et al., 2023).

Supply chain complexity and optimization of production systems is a unique issue of implementation in manufacturing organizations. In manufacturing supply chains, big data analytics allow forecasting demand, optimization of inventory and risks, which simultaneously helps to increase the economic performance and sustainability outcomes (Tiwari et al., 2024). Manufacturing companies that utilize BDAC denote greater sustainability of the supply chain, especially regarding the vision alignment, stakeholder engagement, and internal coordination (Shokouhyar et al., 2020).

The small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) face certain limitations to implementation of BDAC. When resources are limited, and access to specialized talents is restricted, the approaches to its implementation should be different in large organizations (Tawil et al., 2024). However, research shows that one of the competitive advantages of SMEs who effectively applied data-driven decision-making is their ability to gain competitive advantages due to better operational efficiency and innovation capacity (Orero-Blat et al., 2024). It seems that the most important difference is that SMEs need organizational approaches and implementation planning that prioritize the attention to essential business processes and selective investments in technologies instead of full-fledged enterprise solutions (Hilali et al., 2020).

III. DATA-DRIVEN DECISION-MAKING AND INSIGHTS GENERATION

A. Transforming Data into Actionable Strategic Insights

The transformation of raw data into actionable information will constitute the key point of contact between BDAC and organizational performance (Abdul-Azeez et al., 2024). Data-driven analytics includes the methodology of predictive, prescriptive, and descriptive analytics, which play a unique part in the process of decision-making. Predictive analytics allows forecasting the future trends, her behaviors and act proactively to prevent any risks (Adesina et al., 2024). Prescriptive analytics can give the best pieces of advice grounded on several situations, whereas descriptive analytics can give the most vivid perspectives of past performance and help organizations discover regularities and ways of improvement (Abdul-Azeez et al., 2024).

Successful generation of insights relies on the ingenuity of employing sophisticated analytical algorithms in combination with profound understanding of the domain. The knowledge management system and practices will promote the transfer of analytical results into the organizational knowledge available to decision-makers (Abbas & Khan, 2023). Organizations that have adopted both knowledge management and big data analytics have better green innovation practices and organizational performance (Makhloufi et al., 2023). The implication of this integration is that BDAC determines organizational outcomes due to the knowledge making and sharing processes which incorporate analytical insights in the organization structures.

The ability to provide real-time analytics is an important advancement towards responsiveness of organizations and the quality of their decisions. Organizations can make decisions based on the most recent information instead of the past one because of the Internet of Things (IoT) technologies that allow collecting and processing data in real time (Malik, 2024). This increases the responsiveness to time, which enhances the organizational behavior and performance, but organizations have to work both to maximize the use of resources and minimize the wastes to receive the benefits of sustained sustainability (Manuti & Giancaspro, 2019).

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

B. Evidence-Based Decision-Making Culture and Implementation

One of the most important organizational attributes that distinguishes a high-performing organization and an average organization is the evidence-based culture of decision making. Companies that systematically focus on data and business analytics-based decision-making are 5-6% more output productive than would be the case based on their investments in technology (Brynjolfsson et al., 2011). This productivity benefits are applied in several performance metrics such as the asset utilization, the returns on equity, and the market value which means that the decision-making culture reaches the whole level of the organization (Mangesti Rahayu, 2019).

The creation of data-driven decision-making culture is achieved through the planned organizational change that includes the technological infrastructure, human resource, and cultural change. Complexity of change management turns out to be a key moderating variable that defines the success of implementation (Rejikumar et al., 2018). The organizations should make sure that they have sufficient infrastructure to enable effective data collection and dispensation and instill confidence in the data quality and decision making. The mediating aspect of the complexity of technology also highlights the fact that information systems capability is a necessary but inadequate priori to proper adoption of decisions based on data (Müller et al., 2016).

Leadership analytics is a developing use of data-driven decision-making, which is aimed at studying the behavior of leaders and enhancing the work of teams. With analytical-driven approaches, leaders are able to have better evidence-based decisions, less bias, a higher level of accuracy in their decision-making, and a stronger engagement among employees (Snigdha et al., 2025). The findings suggest that the effects of the improvement of decision-making are propagated across the organizations when the leadership has shown determination to adopt evidence-based strategies, which produces demonstration effects thereby leading to wider adoption of the strategies by the organizations (Sadeghi R. et al., 2024).

C. Strategic Decision-Making Applications and Outcomes

The use of data in decision-making affects strategic decision-making in various areas of organizations. Real-time analytics can be of great benefit to supply chain decision-making by helping to forecast demand better, manage inventory, and address risk (Tiwari et al., 2024). The digitalization of supply chains facilitates the creation of data-intensive environments in which optimal supply chain results are possible through advanced analytics and artificial intelligence optimization of procurement, production planning, and distribution strategies (V. Orajaka & Okolie, 2025). Such applications show direct economic performance increase and, at the same time, allow maintaining sustainability of the supply chain by minimizing waste and maximizing the use of resources (Tiwari et al., 2024).

Another of the critical areas where data-driven methods can create a high value is financial analytics. Financial models based on AI are based on machine learning and deep learning and can enhance the accuracy of financial prediction, the efficiency of fraud detection, and investment strategy execution (Onwuzulike et al., 2022). AI-based financial models are more accurate and timely than the standard version as they use real-time data processing, automatic feature selection, and adaptive learning capabilities. These improved financial intelligence also allow the allocation of capital and management of risks more effectively directly contributing to the sustainability of the organizations (Pal et al., 2020).

Human resources decision-making is also becoming more and more data-driven such as HR analytics and people analytics. Those organizations using HR analytics to make decisions related to recruitment, performance analysis, and employee engagement claim that they have

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

better hiring accuracy, more efficient training, and stronger talent gap management (V & Suvarna, 2025). These HR analytics solutions have a direct positive impact on organizational performance by enhancing productivity of the workforce and minimizing the turnover, and contribute at the same time to talent management goals that maintain organizational competitive advantage (Moon et al., 2023).

IV. TALENT MANAGEMENT AS A MODERATING FACTOR

A. Talent Management Dimensions and Strategic Importance

Talent management involves programmed methods of recruiting, nurturing, retaining and engaging employees who are of critical ability to the success of the organization. Within data-driven and machine-learned conditions, talent management can be viewed as being more strategic in that the talent of humans serves as the cornerstone of building an effective analytical capability (Mukhuty et al., 2022). The impact of talent management on organizational capabilities to adopt BDAC, build data-driven culture, and seize opportunities of AI is also direct.

The main issue of modern talent management is digital capabilities. The necessary but not sufficient technical competencies are data science, programming, and statistical analysis; the pattern among organizations is the realization that digital competencies are pervasive throughout the workforce, with all employees needing the knowledge of basic data literacy (Santana and Daz-Fernndez, 2022). Some of the managerial competencies required to lead in a digital environment are a method of strategic thinking, change management, facilitation of innovation, and emotional intelligence (Klein, 2020). Companies that have successfully adopted BDAC and data-driven decision-making have shown thorough competency building in technical specialists and the general workforce in digital literacy (Santana and Daz-Fernndez, 2022).

Training and development programs are some of the important mechanisms that organizations use to develop and maintain digital competencies. Companies that invest in the continuous learning programs that cover the new technologies and methods of analysis and ability to lead in the digital world demonstrate better performance results (Mason, 2006). Such investments develop organizational cultures that appreciate learning and creation, build career advancement opportunities in technical and analytical jobs, and show the organizational devotion to employees development (Mukhuty et al., 2022).

B. AI Era Talent Management Strategies

The advent of artificial intelligence leads to new demands in talent management oriented to facilitate human-AI interaction, but not the absolute AI displacement. Companies that implement augmentation views of collaboration between humans and the AI instead of full automation show better innovation and performance results (Raisch and Krakowski, 2021). The talent management methods needed in this augmentation strategy entail the creation of abilities of successful human-AI teamwork, change adjustment, and joint problem resolution (La Torre et al., 2023).

The healthcare setting is included, and the capabilities of leadership, technical skills, and change management should be developed allowing healthcare employees to successfully exploit digitalized systems in the Health 4.0 environment (Al-Jaroodi et al., 2020). In strategies of talent management, aimed at building leaders and engaging employees, job satisfaction, commitment, and productivity are reported to have improved. These results indicate that the investments in talent management have organizational benefits beyond the individual performance context to induce positive organizational climate that encourages overall performance (Shanker et al., 2017).

The study of the hospitality industry proves that the practices of talent management can have a substantial impact on the engagement, retention, and productivity of employees in AI-empowered service settings (Rul and Njoku, 2020). Companies restructuring their talent management techniques to assist staff advancement and enable favorable employee-AI communication obtain better service excellence and customer fulfillment results (Madhumita et al., 2024). The evidence in this sector proposes that successful talent management creates a moderating impact on technology implementation-service performance outcomes relationship.

C. Talent Management and Organizational Resilience

Organizational resilience becomes a key moderator in the process of BDAC affecting organizational performance in the uncertain circumstances. IT capabilities reveal great impact on organizational resilience and strategic flexibility, and big data analytics capabilities mediate completely the relationship between IT capability and strategic flexibility (Wided, 2022). Notably, big data possession of personal expertise does not only harm some of the IT-strategy relationships, but it also drives and enhances strategic flexibility-resilience relationships (Ciampi et al., 2020), which suggests the complex moderating influences of talent management quality.

The role of talent management in knowledge continuity and organizational memory keeping increases the importance of the knowledge management in the digitalized environments. A high level of talent management decision-making inclusion by incorporating varied views, acknowledging different types of knowledge (technical and tacit), and defined mechanisms of knowledge transfer help organizations to become resilient (Audretsch and Belitski, 2021). Companies that have developed talent management strategies have a higher capability to endure disruptions, adapt to market changes, and perform in crisis situations (Grewal & Tansuhaj, 2001).

Moderating aspect of talent management is also evident in the ethical and governance aspects. The talent management strategies that should be adopted by organizations that use ethical AI should build their competencies in the areas of algorithmic fairness, data privacy, and responsible innovation (Chen, 2023). Ethical personnel allow organizations to reduce the risk of algorithmic bias, have transparent AI use, and retain stakeholder confidence (Hosseini Tabaghdehi & Ayaz, 2025). The above ethical aspects of talent management are becoming more crucial due to the expansion of AI use in organizations.

V. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ORIENTATION AND CAPABILITY

A. AI Adoption and Strategic Orientation

The artificial intelligence orientation indicates the strategic commitment of the organization to adopt, integrate and realize the value of artificial intelligence. Companies with high AI orientation see AI as a core to strategic positioning and not to the tactical application (Naz et al., 2023). Technology adoption, capabilities development, organizational preparedness, and cultural alignment are included in AI orientation that supports AI-based change. BDAC combined with AI capability produces synergies of analytical power to make high-quality decisions and performance results (Neiroukh et al., 2025).

Entrepreneurial orientation (EO) has a positive impact on co-innovation integration and the following effectiveness of AI adoption. Companies that are more entrepreneurial are more willing to test AI applications and incur failure risk and create business model innovations that utilize AI opportunities (Burström et al., 2021). This correlation implies that the effectiveness of AI orientation is determined by wider organizational strategic orientations to support innovation and calculated risk-taking (Naz et al., 2023).

The concept of environmental dynamism is a valuable moderator of AI orientation effectiveness. AI orientation has a more significant effect on co-innovation and performance in more dynamic environments that are highly dynamic with rapid change and uncertainty (Seclen-Luna et al., 2024). This moderating impact indicates that AI capabilities have specific usefulness in uncertain situations when the conventional decision-making methods are not effective, and it is possible to consider that the contextual aspects define the most desirable levels of AI investment (McKenzie et al., 2009).

B. AI and Analytics Integration for Enhanced Capability

Due to machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing applications, artificial intelligence greatly enhances the level of analytical capabilities. Machine learning algorithms allow extracting patterns in high-dimensional data, discovering non-linear patterns, and predicting more accurately than the traditional statistic methods (Najafabadi et al., 2015). Deep learning is especially good at unstructured data analytics, so the organizations can exploit text, image, and other unstructured sources of information that were not easily analyzed in a systematic manner before (Tredinnick & Laybats, 2024).

Decision-making systems A decision-making system such as an AI-based system combines real-time analytics and machine learning models to offer decision support and lowest human intervention. Such systems have a better ability to detect frauds, risk assessment, and real-time operational decision-making (Okafor et al., 2025). Companies that have adopted AI-based analytics claim to have achieved high operational efficiency, financial predictivity, and customer interaction. Nevertheless, studies also note such important implementation issues as data quality requirements, talent shortages, ethical risk, and resistance to change in the organization (Celestin & Mishra, 2025).

Automation versus augmentation paradigm is a paradigm of critical strategic choice in AI implementation (Raisch and Krakowski, 2021). The views of automation that focus on machine substitution of human jobs bring greater benefits to short-term cost savings at the expense of long term innovation and organizational learning. The perspectives based on augmentation, in which humans and AI perform different tasks because the latter deals with routine operations and the former is concerned with judgment and imaginative thinking, produce better long-term performance (Aiudi et al., 2025). This fact implies that the efficiency of AI orientation lies in the strategic decisions related to AI implementation patterns and communication with human abilities.

C. Ethical and Governance Dimensions of AI Implementation

The algorithmic bias is one of the key ethical issues in AI-driven decision-making systems. Recruitment, financial evaluation, and risk evaluation systems based on AI prove to be based on consistent prejudices related to gender, race, and socioeconomic attributes (Chen, 2023). These biases are based on the restrictions of training data, biased selection of features and inadequate detection of bias. Algorithms discrimination will need multidimensional solutions such as data design with no discrimination, algorithm design systems that focus on fairness, and organizational governance systems that facilitate ethical AI use (Hoffmann, 2019).

The use of people analytics and artificial intelligence applications has also attracted serious ethical and privacy issues that need clear governance structures. The companies that introduce employee surveillance, algorithms-based performance evaluation, and AI-based personnel decision-making have to set ethical principles that safeguard the rights of employees, promote transparency, and preserve trust (Rezaei et al., 2025). This entails managing organizational efficiency goals and protecting the employees, which would demand

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

multistakeholder presence such as HR professionals, data scientists, legal experts, and employees (Tursunbayeva et al., 2021).

Responsible AI implementation should have organizational governance frameworks that need clear ethical frameworks, transparency systems, and accountability systems. Companies that set ethics boards, run algorithm audits, and introduce mechanisms of decision explainability are reported to have a higher level of stakeholders trust and more sustainable AI applications (Rezaei et al., 2025). The governance investments seem to be required in order to achieve long-term value of AI implementations and handle regulatory risks, as well as preserve stakeholder relationships that are key to organizational sustainability.

VI. ORGANIZATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES AND PERFORMANCE

A. Multidimensional Sustainability Performance

Organizational sustainability presents three interconnected dimensions economic performance that signifies financial strength and competitiveness, environmental performance that signifies resource use efficiency and minimal environmental impact, and social performance that signifies worker and community wellbeing (Aziz et al., 2024). BDAC has impacts on all three dimensions in a number of ways. Through better decision-making, operational optimization, and innovation, economic sustainability becomes better (Alyahya et al., 2023). Analytical knowledge enables the progress of environmental sustainability by enhancing resource use and minimizing waste, as well as sustainability-oriented innovation of business models (Aziz et al., 2024). The enhanced human resources decision, community engagement comprehension, and alignment of stakeholders enhance the social sustainability (Zhu et al., 2022).

The most measurable sustainability outcome is financial performance. Companies that adopt BDAC are recorded to have enhanced profitability, the use of assets, and market value (Brynjolfsson et al., 2011). These positive economic gains are based on various processes such as an increase in the efficiency of operations through real-time analytics (Tiwari et al., 2024), a decrease in costs associated with demand forecasting (Shokouhyar et al., 2020), and an increase in the quality of strategic decisions (Abdul-Azeez et al., 2024). The uniformity of economic gains in heterogeneous industries implies that BDAC offers generalised processes of enhancing economic performance.

Environmental sustainability is an ever-growing performance dimension of disciplines of climate change, regulatory and stakeholder imperatives. Those organizations that use BDAC as a tool to monitor environmental performance score higher in terms of sustainability because of the systematic monitoring of carbon emission, resource use, and waste production (Aziz et al., 2024). These analytics are especially beneficial in improving the environment by discovering ways to achieve efficiency, improve transportation routes, and minimize excess stock (Nisar et al., 2022). Analytics-based green innovation programs find new sustainable goods and services that create competitive advantages and promote environmental goals (Makhloufi et al., 2023).

B. Mediation Pathways: From Analytics Capability to Sustainability

Evidence-based thoughts within the context of data are essential to mediate the process of BDAC into the results of sustainability. Organizations that are good at transforming analytical abilities into strategic insights interpretable and used by decision-makers have high sustainability performance (Rezaei et al., 2025). The mediation effect is an iterative process with analytics revealing opportunities to enhance sustainability, leaders making strategic decisions based on these findings, and operational changes executing decisions that generate feedback loops that strengthen both the analytical ability and sustainability performance (Abdul-Azeez et al., 2024).

BDAC is connected to sustainability performance through innovation capability in three dimensions (Aziz et al., 2024). Analytics make it possible to discover new opportunities on the market with regard to sustainability goals, facilitate creation of sustainable products and services and incorporate business model innovation based on the principles of sustainability (Sivarajah et al., 2024). This line of innovation is especially significant in industries with high dynamism where rivals are forced to come up with new competencies to be competitive as they go on to enhance sustainability (Sivarajah et al., 2024).

There is a partial mediation by strategic agility between BDAC and sustainability performance. When equipped with analytics, organizations are better placed to act faster whenever there is a change in the market, regulatory factors, and the need to be more sustainable, by using real-time data when making decisions (Alyahya et al., 2023). This is a mediation route that suggests that organizations need to create not only analytical skills, but convert them into organizational agility, which allows them to quickly adapt to evolving sustainability needs and market conditions (Tiwari et al., 2024).

C. Moderating Factors Determining Sustainability Outcomes

The organizational creativity and innovation climate are found as key mediating between BDAC effectiveness and attaining sustainability. Strategic agility-sustainable performance correlation increases considerably in creative organizational contexts (Alyahya et al., 2023), which implies that creative thinking and experimentation-informed sustainability benefits of BDAC are subject to the influence of the organizational climate. Rigidly hierarchical organizations and those with risk-averse cultures also seem to have lower usability of analytical insights in the sustainability-innovation (Zhu et al., 2022).

BDAC effectiveness is moderated by organizational culture in general. Dynamic capabilities that are process-oriented such as systematic organizational learning, cross-functional collaboration as well as experimentation, facilitate translation of analytical insights into innovative organizational practices (Munir et al., 2022). Companies that have learning-based cultures and are open to novel concepts and not afraid of disrupting the existing practices receive excellent innovation and sustainability results of BDAC investments (Naz et al., 2023).

External moderators that affect the effectiveness of BDAC in the sustainability settings are government support and policy environment. The application of green innovation, underpinned by knowledge management and analytics, show more efficacies in terms of performance improvement in settings that have favorable government policies (Pugna et al., 2019). On the flipside, regulatory uncertainty and absence of government support restrain the desire by organizations to invest in sustainability efforts, despite analytics proving to be economically viable (Makhloufi et al., 2023).

VII. INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A. Integrated Framework Synthesis

The holistic approach that brings together BDAC, data-driven insights, decision-making, talent management, and AI orientation shows that the elements interact in a complicated way. BDAC is an obligatory background that gives the analytical capacity, yet the capacity also affects the organizational sustainability outcomes via two mediation routes: the promotion of data-driven insights generation and the enhancing of the quality of decisions (Garmaki et al., 2023). Organizations should build organizational learning systems that transform analytical

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

abilities into actionable knowledge and implement the cultural practices that will incorporate the knowledge to decision-making (Makhloufi et al., 2023).

Talent management mediates the direct BDAC effects and effectiveness of mediation pathway. Companies that implement an inclusive talent development strategy that provides sufficient technical skills, managerial abilities, and organizational learning potential have high BDAC utilization and sustainability results (Mishra et al., 2019). In like manner, AI orientation dictates the ability of organizations to complement the rudimentary analytical functions with AI-powered advanced analytics and generate higher-quality insights and predictive quality (Naz et al., 2023).

The interaction of these elements stems out to dynamic capability view. The creation of reinforcing cycles occurs when organizations constantly build BDAC, improve their analytical decision-making, invest in talent, and empower AI capabilities so that improving the work of each of the capabilities positively affects the others (Singh and Giudice, 2019). On the other hand, failure to invest in any aspect that is vital to the system limits the system performance, which is the reason why broad-based strategies are more effective than those that target a specific aspect (Aziz et al., 2024).

B. Critical Success Factors and Implementation Roadmaps

A literature review that combines various empirical research finds that there are key success factors that contribute to the success of BDAC investment. First, an overall capability development based on all seven dimensions of BDAC is required; organizations improving certain dimensions and leaving others out are only able to make a modest contribution (Aziz et al., 2024). The infrastructure and data quality are the required basics, yet lacking equal investments in human resources and organizational learning, the analytical capabilities are not used (Garmaki et al., 2023).

Second, change in culture that helps in making decisions that rely on data is a critical precondition of BDAC value generation. Companies that manage to transfer decision-making processes out of the intuition and political grounds in an organization to evidence and data have significantly better results (Brynjolfsson et al., 2011). This change of culture will need leadership presence, setting up of metrics and accountability frameworks focusing on data-driven solutions, and rejoicing evidence-based achievements (Pugna et al., 2019).

Third, organizational cross-integration of functions allows the full use of BDAC. The analytics supply chain integration, financial services integration using predictive model, HR services integration using people analytics, and marketing services integration using customer analytics indicate that analytics are cross-functional (Tiwari et al., 2024). Organizations that separate analytics functions into data science teams that operate separately use capabilities less efficiently than organizations that incorporate analytics into organizational structures (Orero-Blat et al., 2024).

C. Research Gaps, Emerging Questions, and Future Directions

Although much empirical research has been carried out on the topic of BDAC and organizational performance, there are still numerous research gaps. First, longitudinal studies looking at the creation of cumulative value with BDAC investments on long-term basis are scarce. The vast majority of the literature adopts cross-sectional designs that capture point-in-time relationships, which clouds the process of dynamics where capabilities are acquired and performance enhanced over the years (Aziz et al., 2024). Trajectories of capability building and long-term performance gains are questions of longitudinal research that would contribute significantly to the knowledge (Garmaki et al., 2023).

Second, studies that focus on moderating and mediating mechanisms have not been studied fully. Although research has established that talent management and AI orientation are moderators, the studies do not provide sufficient evidence on interaction between the former and the latter and the units of their effect on organizational settings are under-investigated (Munir et al., 2022). A multilevel study that analyzes individual, team, organizational and environmental moderators at once would give a more subtle insight into complex relationships (Dbrowska et al., 2022).

Third, studies on the ethical aspects of the BDAC implementation and informed decision-making should be expanded. Already, as the organizations continue to implement AI into their analytics and decision-making frameworks, the topics of algorithmic bias, fairness, privacy, and transparency become more and more significant (Chen, 2023). The future studies must focus on the ways organizations can develop BDAC and decision-making systems that can simultaneously be highly performing and at the same time be ethical and trusted by the stakeholders (Tursunbayeva et al., 2021).

Fourth, BDAC sustainability benefits should be looked into further. Although BDAC has a positive impact on sustainability performance, little is known about the way analytics specifically progress environmental and social sustainability (Aziz et al., 2024). Future studies on sustainability-specific applications, barriers to sustainability-centered analytics usage, and comparative sustainability innovation between industries would be an improvement in practice (Makhloufi et al., 2023).

Lastly, the current studies on the implementation of BDAC in developing economies and other international settings are scarce. The majority of studies are developed within the context of developed economies and large entities, which creates significant gaps in terms of SME experience, the situation related to developing economies, and cultural differences in the context of analytics adoption (Tawil et al., 2024). External validity would be enhanced through comparative research in different contexts, and culturally dependent implementation strategies would be determined (Orero-Blat et al., 2024).

CONCLUSION

When adequately combined with the data-driven decision-making processes, the capabilities of big data analytics significantly increase the performance of the organization in terms of sustainability on various mediation channels. The critical moderating variables influencing the realization of the full value of BDAC investments in organizations are talent management quality and AI orientation. The holistic structure of integrating these elements gives a guideline to the organizational leadership that aims at developing sustainability in a systematic manner without compromising the performance at the organizational level. Further studies resolving the research gaps in this review will further enlighten on intricate connections amid analytics potentials, organizational procedures, talent management, AI adoption, and sustainable company functioning.

References:

Abbas, J., & Khan, S. M. (2023). Green knowledge management and organizational green culture: an interaction for organizational green innovation and green performance. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *27*(7), 1852–1870. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-03-2022-0156

Abiodun, M. K., Awotunde, J. B., Ogundokun, R. O., Misra, S., Adeniyi, E. A., Arowolo, M. O., & Jaglan, V. (2021). Cloud and Big Data: A Mutual Benefit for Organization Development. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1767*(1), 012020.

https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1767/1/012020

Abdul-Azeez, O. Y., Nwabekee, U. S., Agu, E. E., & Ignatius, T. (2024). Strategic approaches to sustainability in multinational corporations: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, *3*(02), 038-054.

Abhijith, U., & Rejikumar, G. (2018). An exploratory study on information retention in social media platforms among Generation Y. *International Journal of Internet Marketing and Advertising*, *12*(4), 305-324.

Adesina, A. O. (2024). Optimising Tacit Knowledge in Organisational Knowledge Management: A Systematic Review of Covid-Era Literature. *Information, Knowledge, and Technology for Teaching and Research in Africa: Data and Knowledge Management*, 1-27.

Al Tawil, A., Gomaa, I. A., Zeid, M. A. E., Marzouk, A. S., Hamad, A. L., Zakaria, K. Y., ... & AbdElminaam, D. S. (2024, July). Optimizing ASD Diagnosis: Enhanced Autism Spectrum Disorder Prediction Using Machine Learning Classifiers. In *2024 Intelligent Methods, Systems, and Applications (IMSA)* (pp. 225-234). IEEE.

Audretsch, D. B., & Belitski, M. (2021). Knowledge complexity and firm performance: evidence from the European SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *25*(4), 693-713.

Audretsch, D. B., & Belitski, M. (2021). Towards an entrepreneurial ecosystem typology for regional economic development: The role of creative class and entrepreneurship. *Regional Studies*, *55*(4), 735-756.

Aiudi, A., Francioni, B., Kyrdoda, Y., & Amerio, S. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in international strategic decision-making for SMEs. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-06-2025-0207

Al-Jaroodi, J., Mohamed, N., & Abukhousa, E. (2020). Health 4.0: On the Way to Realizing the Healthcare of the Future. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 211189–211210. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3038858

Alyahya, M., Aliedan, M., Agag, G., & Abdelmoety, Z. (2023). Understanding the Relationship between Big Data Analytics Capabilities and Sustainable Performance: The Role of Strategic Agility and Firm Creativity. *Sustainability*, *15*(9), 7623. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097623

Aziz, F., Li, C., Khan, A. U., & Khan, A. (2024). Emerging trends and insights in sustainable innovation performance: A two decade literature review (2002–2022). *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *467*, 142805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.142805

Bena, Y. A., Ibrahim, R., Mahmood, J., Al-Dhaqm, A., Alshammari, A., Nasser, M., Nura Yusuf, M., & Ayemowa, M. O. (2025). Big Data Governance Challenges Arising From Data Generated by Intelligent Systems Technologies: A Systematic Literature Review. *IEEE Access*, *13*, 12859–12888. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3528941

Burström, T., Parida, V., Lahti, T., & Wincent, J. (2021). AI-enabled business-model innovation and transformation in industrial ecosystems: A framework, model and outline for further research. *Journal of Business Research*, *127*, 85–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.01.016

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2012). *Race against the machine: How the digital revolution is accelerating innovation, driving productivity, and irreversibly transforming employment and the economy*. Brynjolfsson and McAfee.

Brynjolfsson, E., Hitt, L. M., & Kim, H. H. (2011). Strength in numbers: How does data-driven decisionmaking affect firm performance?. *Available at SSRN 1819486*.

Chen, P., Wu, L., & Wang, L. (2023). AI fairness in data management and analytics: A review on challenges, methodologies and applications. *Applied sciences*, *13*(18), 10258.

Chenoy, D., Ghosh, S. M., & Shukla, S. K. (2019). Skill development for accelerating the manufacturing sector: the role of 'new-age'skills for 'Make in India'. *International Journal of Training Research*, *17*(sup1), 112-130.

Cavalcanti Barros Rodrigues, B., & Gohr, C. F. (2022). Dynamic Capabilities and Critical Factors for Boosting Sustainability-Oriented Innovation: Systematic Literature Review and a Framework Proposal. *Engineering Management Journal*, *34*(4), 591–619. https://doi.org/10.1080/10429247.2021.1960124

Celestin, M., & Mishra, A. K. (2025). AI-Driven Financial Analytics: Enhancing Forecast Accuracy, Risk Management, and Decision-Making in Corporate Finance. *Janajyoti Journal*, *3*(1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.3126/jj.v3i1.83284

Chaudhuri, R., Chatterjee, S., Mariani, M. M., & Wamba, S. F. (2024). Assessing the influence of emerging technologies on organizational data driven culture and innovation capabilities: A sustainability performance perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *200*, 123165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.123165

Ciampi, F., Marzi, G., Demi, S., & Faraoni, M. (2020). The big data-business strategy interconnection: a grand challenge for knowledge management. A review and future perspectives. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *24*(5), 1157–1176. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-02-2020-0156

Dąbrowska, J., Almpanopoulou, A., Brem, A., Chesbrough, H., Cucino, V., Di Minin, A., ... & Ritala, P. (2022). Digital transformation, for better or worse: a critical multi-level research agenda. *R&D Management*, *52*(5), 930-954.

El Hilali, W., El Manouar, A., & Janati Idrissi, M. A. (2020). Reaching sustainability during a digital transformation: a PLS approach. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, *12*(1), 52-79.

Garmaki, M., Gharib, R. K., & Boughzala, I. (2023). Big data analytics capability and contribution to firm performance: the mediating effect of organizational learning on firm performance. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, *36*(5), 1161–1184. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-06-2021-0247

Ghobakhloo, M., Iranmanesh, M., Morales, M. E., Nilashi, M., & Amran, A. (2023). Actions and approaches for enabling Industry 5.0-driven sustainable industrial transformation: A strategy roadmap. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *30*(3), 1473–1494. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2431

Grewal, R., & Tansuhaj, P. (2001). Building Organizational Capabilities for Managing Economic Crisis: The Role of Market Orientation and Strategic Flexibility. *Journal of Marketing*, *65*(2), 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.67.18259

Hoffmann, A. L. (2019). Where fairness fails: data, algorithms, and the limits of antidiscrimination discourse. *Information, Communication & Society*, *22*(7), 900–915. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1573912

Hosseini Tabaghdehi, S. A., & Ayaz, Ö. (2025). AI ethics in action: a circular model for transparency, accountability and inclusivity. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-03-2024-0177

Klein, M. (2021). Emotional labor in a sales ecosystem: a salesperson-customer interactional framework. *Journal of business & industrial marketing*, *36*(4), 666-685.

La Torre, D., Colapinto, C., Durosini, I., & Triberti, S. (2023). Team Formation for Human-Artificial Intelligence Collaboration in the Workplace: A Goal Programming Model to

Foster Organizational Change. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, *70*(5), 1966–1976. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2021.3077195

Madhumita, G., Dolly Diana, P., PC, N., Narendra Kiran, P. B., Aggarwal, S., & Satish Nargunde, A. (2024). AI-powered Performance Management: Driving Employee Success and Organizational Growth. *2024 5th International Conference on Recent Trends in Computer Science and Technology (ICRTCST)*, 204–209. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRTCST61793.2024.10578371

Makhloufi, L., Vasa, L., Rosak-Szyrocka, J., & Djermani, F. (2023). Understanding the Impact of Big Data Analytics and Knowledge Management on Green Innovation Practices and Organizational Performance: The Moderating Effect of Government Support. *Sustainability*, *15*(11), 8456. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118456

MAHABUB, S., Hossain, M. R., & Snigdha, E. Z. (2025). Data-Driven Decision-Making and Strategic Leadership: AI-Powered Business Operations for Competitive Advantage and Sustainable Growth. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, *7*(1), 326-336.

Makhloufi, L., Zhou, J., & Siddik, A. B. (2023). Why green absorptive capacity and managerial environmental concerns matter for corporate environmental entrepreneurship?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *30*(46), 102295-102312.

Malik, A., Sharma, S., Batra, I., Sharma, C., Kaswan, M. S., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2024). Industrial revolution and environmental sustainability: an analytical interpretation of research constituents in Industry 4.0. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, *15*(1), 22-49.

Mukhuty, S., Upadhyay, A., & Rothwell, H. (2022). Strategic sustainable development of Industry 4.0 through the lens of social responsibility: The role of human resource practices. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *31*(5), 2068-2081.

Mangesti Rahayu, S. (2019). Mediation effects financial performance toward influences of corporate growth and assets utilization. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, *68*(5), 981–996. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2018-0199

Manuti, A., & Giancaspro, M. L. (2019). People Make the Difference: An Explorative Study on the Relationship between Organizational Practices, Employees' Resources, and Organizational Behavior Enhancing the Psychology of Sustainability and Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, *11*(5), 1499. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11051499

Mason, R. (2006). Learning technologies for adult continuing education. *Studies in Continuing Education*, *28*(2), 121–133. https://doi.org/10.1080/01580370600751039

McKenzie, J., Woolf, N., van Winkelen, C., & Morgan, C. (2009). Cognition in strategic decision making. *Management Decision*, *47*(2), 209–232. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740910938885

Moon, K., Bergemann, P., Brown, D., Chen, A., Chu, J., Eisen, E. A., Fischer, G. M., Loyalka, P., Rho, S., & Cohen, J. (2023). Manufacturing Productivity with Worker Turnover. *Management Science*, *69*(4), 1995–2015. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2022.4476

Müller, O., Junglas, I., Brocke, J. vom, & Debortoli, S. (2016). Utilizing big data analytics for information systems research: challenges, promises and guidelines. *European Journal of Information Systems*, *25*(4), 289–302. https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2016.2

Munir, H., Vogel, B., & Jacobsson, A. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Approaches in Digital Education: A Systematic Revision. *Information, 13*(4), 203. https://doi.org/10.3390/info13040203

Najafabadi, M. M., Villanustre, F., Khoshgoftaar, T. M., Seliya, N., Wald, R., & Muharemagic, E. (2015). Deep learning applications and challenges in big data analytics. *Journal of big data*, *2*(1), 1.

Naz, S., Gul, A., Zia, M., & Javed, R. (2023). Synthesis, biomedical applications, and toxicity of CuO nanoparticles. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *107*(4), 1039-1061.

Naz, S., Jamshed, S., Nisar, Q. A., & Nasir, N. (2023). Green HRM, psychological green climate and pro-environmental behaviors: An efficacious drive towards environmental performance in China. *Current Psychology*, *42*(2), 1346-1361.

Njoku, A. C. (2020). AN EXAMINATION OF THE CHALLENGES OF STRATEGIC PLANNING IN THE PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS'GOALS ATTAINMENT IN NIGERIA. *African Journal of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, *10*(2), 350-361.

Neiroukh, S., Emeagwali, O. L., & Aljuhmani, H. Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence capability and organizational performance: unraveling the mediating mechanisms of decision-making processes. *Management Decision, 63*(10), 3501–3532. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-1946

Okafor, U. A., Kakou, P. C. K., D'Alessandro, U., Ojeh, V. N., & Yaffa, S. (2025). Projection of future malaria prevalence in the upper river region of The Gambia. *Malaria Journal*, *24*(1), 108.

Onwuzulike, O. C., Oyeyipo, I., Ayodeji, D. C., Nwaozomudoh, M. O., Isibor, N. J., Ahmadu, J., ... & Attipoe, V. (2022). Modeling AI-Driven Financial Analytics for Enhanced Predictive Insights, Decision-Making, and Business Performance Optimization.

Orero-Blat, M., Palacios Marqués, D., Leal-Rodriguez, A. L., & Ferraris, A. (2024). Beyond digital transformation: a multi-mixed methods study on big data analytics capabilities and innovation in enhancing organizational performance. *Review of Managerial Science*.

Oluwatosin Yetunde Abdul-Azeez, Uloma Stella Nwabekee, Edith Ebele Agu, & Tochukwu Ignatius Ijomah. (2024). Strategic approaches to sustainability in multinational corporations: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*, *3*(2), 038–054. https://doi.org/10.56355/ijfrst.2024.3.2.0046

Pal, A., Ukil, A., Deb, T., Sahu, I., & Majumdar, A. (2020). Instant Adaptive Learning: An Adaptive Filter Based Fast Learning Model Construction for Sensor Signal Time Series Classification on Edge Devices. *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 8339–8343. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP40776.2020.9054418

Park, H. Y., Misra, K., Reddy, S., & Jaber, K. (2019). Family firms' innovation drivers and performance: a dynamic capabilities approach. *Journal of Family Business Management*, *9*(1), 4–23. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFBM-11-2017-0039

Pugna, I. B., Duțescu, A., & Stănilă, O. G. (2019). Corporate attitudes towards big data and its impact on performance management: A qualitative study. *Sustainability*, *11*(3), 684.

Rezaei, M., Pironti, M., & Quaglia, R. (2025). AI in knowledge sharing, which ethical challenges are raised in decision-making processes for organisations? *Management Decision*, *63*(10), 3369–3388. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-2023

Radhakrishna Gowda, V., & Suvarna, M. A. THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL AND NON-FINANCIAL INCENTIVES ON EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION AND

ISSN Online: 3006-4686, ISSN Print: 3006-4678
Volume No: 03 Issue No: 01 (2026)

PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM THE CORPORATE SECTOR IN KARNATAKA, INDIA.

Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of management review*, *46*(1), 192-210.

Sadeghi R., K., Ojha, D., Kaur, P., Mahto, R. V., & Dhir, A. (2024). Explainable artificial intelligence and agile decision-making in supply chain cyber resilience. *Decision Support Systems*, *180*, 114194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2024.114194

Seclen-Luna, J. P., Galera-Zarco, C., & Moya-Fernández, P. (2024). Technological innovation and eco-efficiency in manufacturing companies: Does Co-innovation orientation matter? *Journal of Cleaner Production, 449,* 141669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141669

Shokouhyar, S., Seddigh, M. R., & Panahifar, F. (2020). Impact of big data analytics capabilities on supply chain sustainability: A case study of Iran. *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*, *17*(1), 33-57.

Singh, S. K., & Del Giudice, M. (2019). Big data analytics, dynamic capabilities and firm performance. *Management Decision*, *57*(8), 1729-1733.

Sivarajah, U., Kumar, S., Kumar, V., Chatterjee, S., & Li, J. (2024). A study on big data analytics and innovation: From technological and business cycle perspectives. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *202*, 123328.

Shanker, R., Bhanugopan, R., van der Heijden, B. I. J. M., & Farrell, M. (2017). Organizational climate for innovation and organizational performance: The mediating effect of innovative work behavior. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 100,* 67–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2017.02.004

Tredinnick, L., & Laybats, C. (2024). Managing unstructured information. *Business Information Review, 41*(3), 90–93. https://doi.org/10.1177/02663821241277428

Tiwari, C. K., Bhat, M., Khan, S. T., Subramaniam, R., & Khan, M. A. I. (2024). What drives students toward ChatGPT? An investigation of the factors influencing adoption and usage of ChatGPT. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, *21*(3), 333-355.

Tiwari, M. K., Bidanda, B., Geunes, J., Fernandes, K., & Dolgui, A. (2024). Supply chain digitisation and management. *International Journal of Production Research*, *62*(8), 2918-2926.

Tursunbayeva, A., & Gal, H. C. B. (2024). Adoption of artificial intelligence: A TOP framework-based checklist for digital leaders. *Business Horizons*, *67*(4), 357-368.

V. Orajaka, A., & Okolie, A. (2025). Using Artificial Intelligence to predict and optimize supplier lead times in procurement operations. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *28*(2), 735–755. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.28.2.3753

Vezeteu, P. V., & Năstac, D. I. (2024). Artificial intelligence integration in business: study of employee competences in relation to the organisational needs. *Amfiteatru Economic*, *26*(67), 832.

Wided, R. (2022). Achieving sustainable tourism with dynamic capabilities and resilience factors: A post disaster perspective case of the tourism industry in Saudi Arabia. *Cogent Social Sciences*, *8*(1), 2060539.

Zhu, Z., & Tang, X. (2023). Effect of integration capabilities with channel distributors on supply chain agility in emerging markets: an institution-based view perspective. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-03-2022-0092